



12. Totally excavating the giant proved beyond our resources, but excavating a metre (3 ft) thick tree did not. This tree sat in a coal seam with its base below the seam.



13. Completely excavated from side to side, it not only showed no roots, it had been fractured. It was the petrified remains of a broken trunk.



14. Compare the two fossil trees with living trees above: note the size difference. Observe the right hand fossil starts at the bottom coal layer and terminates at the next coal layer. The tree on the left starts on the same coal seam, proceeds through the next seam and terminates at a third coal layer. Good observers may notice the unconformity (top arrow) - defined as a spot where strata



are missing from the orthodox geologic column. In this case the top strata are regarded as glacial, up to 2 million years old. Lower strata are regarded as up to 65 million years old. How much time is missing?

15. Not all fossil trees are vertical - the monster above was inclined at about 15° to surrounding strata and more interestingly - it was apparently upside down!

16. There can be no doubt that fossil trees up to 1 metre (3 ft) thick, without roots, did not grow where they are buried. They grew somewhere else. They have been catastrophically ripped up, transported and deposited in vertical position, then rapidly buried by mud and sand.

The two trees side by side of different lengths, also indicate that the theory of repeated swamp formation, tree growth, river flooding, burial of trees, etc, doesn't work. Since the left hand tree goes through the second coal seam and up to a third, it was obviously buried by the mud, sand and vegetation between the top two seams in less time than a tree takes to rot. Rapid burial is not something swamps are famous for. The presence of the

apparently upside down inclined tree only adds to the catastrophic dump analysis. Trees don't grow upside down. They don't fall into swamps at an angle unless there is a stump they fell off. Rapid catastrophic flood deposition seems to work best.

If we use orthodox geological glasses, we see the unconformity meaning some 60 million years of sedimentary record are missing. It's easy to prove layers are missing. But if up to 60 million years are missing, then the geological column here contains no Evolutionary Family tree worth talking about.