

When Designer Jeans wear out you have to go get another pair, but Designer Genes make copies of themselves due to an inbuilt copy-check mechanism. It's brilliant really and that's what this week's Evidence News with EDitorial COMment is mostly about – the evidence of God's Genius as the Creator and Designer of all things. So welcome to another challenging and useful Evidence News 06/09 from John Mackay and the Creation Research Team worldwide for 24<sup>th</sup> June 2009.

© Creation Research 2009

To simplify our email process we have decided to do our Evidence News in PDF format for you to download. You need to have Adobe Reader which is freely available from [www.adobe.com](http://www.adobe.com).

This week's Evidence News Index follows - to view the entire news please click <http://www.creationresearch.net/enews/ENEWS0609-090624-design.pdf> (if the link doesn't work just copy and paste it into your web browser).

(Please Note: within the PDF file you can click on the Index Line to go directly to the news item.)

#### INDEX

1. SNAKE SLITHERING EXPLAINED
2. ROBOTS "DEMONSTRATE EVOLUTION"
3. WANTED: MORE ENEWS REPORTERS
4. BIBLE SOCIETY DIRECTOR
5. NOTABLE QUOTABLE
6. DESIGNER SNOWFLAKES
7. DR MONTY WHITE REVIEWS
8. THE UK OFFICE
9. AUSSIES – JOIN US AT GYMPIE
10. AUSSIE SENATOR CHALLENGES CO2 THEORY
11. ANOTHER CELL PROOFREADER FOUND
12. WINNERS FOR THE DR ALLEN HALL UNIVERSITY SCHOLARSHIP
13. NEW HUNGARIAN DR STEWART SCHOLARSHIPS
14. SECRET OF FOUR-WINGED FLAPPING REVEALED
15. BIRD BREATHING CHALLENGES DINO THEORY
16. WALKING WITH WHITE BLOOD CELLS
17. FREE CREATION RESEARCH ATTACHMENT STUDIES AVAILABLE
18. DONATIONS TO HELP CREATION RESEARCH WORLDWIDE

1. SNAKE SLITHERING EXPLAINED in articles in ScienceNOW 8<sup>th</sup> June 2009 and BBC News 9 June 2009. Snakes can propel themselves forward by pushing against objects in their path such as rocks and twigs, but they can also move along smooth surfaces using a slithering movement, known scientifically as "lateral undulation" because it leaves an S-shaped track. David Hu, a mechanical engineer at the Georgia Institute of Technology in Atlanta, and colleagues, have studied the scales on snakes' bellies to see how they could enable this movement. Hu's team measured the "coefficient of static friction" (how much an object grips a surface when at rest) by placing anaesthetised snakes on a sloping board with the snakes facing in different directions. The friction was highest when the snakes were placed facing upwards. This means the snakes could use differences in friction to propel themselves forward using wave-like muscle contractions which move the scales. Hu explained: "If the friction were equal in all directions, a snake would just slither in place, as if it were on a treadmill." During the study one snake showed its ability to slither on smooth surfaces by escaping across the floor and disappearing. Hu said, "we didn't know where it was until we got a printer jam." The snake survived to slither another day.

BBC: <http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/sci/tech/8091685.stm>

ED. COM. Difference in scale friction is only useful when the snake already possesses the right muscle contractions to move its body in the only way to take advantage of gripping scales – an S movement. Now ponder the fact that all the fossil evidence tells us scaled snakes once had legs and they lost them, so their sinuous body movement is a primary one for use with legs (many legged reptiles still use it), and when legs were lost, such S shaped movement was readily adapted to slithering along a surface with advantage taken of the pre-existing scales as its one remaining grip mechanism. If snakes degenerate any further and lose gripping scales they are in serious trouble! (Ref. serpents, reptiles, physics)

2. ROBOTS “DEMONSTRATE EVOLUTION” according to an article in Yahoo news 31<sup>st</sup> May 2009. Researchers at Vassar College New York have built robots that simulate a predator-prey relationship in a quest to understand how vertebrae (backbones) evolved in the Cambrian period. They have built two swimming robots, one named Preyo (the prey) being pursued by another robot called Tadiator. The researchers tested the effects of varying the design of the prey robot’s tail on how well it could escape the predator robot. John Long, a Professor of Biology, explained: “We’re applying selection, just like natural selection.” They found that stiffening the tail with rubber rings to simulate vertebrae was the most effective way to improve Peyo’s swimming ability. They concluded that “the evolution of multiple vertebrae could have been influenced by the need to avoid predators while foraging.” The Vassar College researchers are one of a number of teams that are building robots and trying out variations on structure and function to help understand how animals move. A team at University of California, Berkeley has built robots that can “creep like cockroaches or climb like geckos” and Swiss researchers have built a robot that moves like a salamander to study the evolution from water to land animals.

Yahoo news: [http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20090531/ap\\_on\\_sc/us\\_evolving\\_robots](http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20090531/ap_on_sc/us_evolving_robots)

ED. COM. The supporter who sent this reference commented “This is one of the most ridiculous articles promoting evolution that I have ever seen. They are using machines designed by an intelligent creator (man) to simulate changes due to ‘natural selection’.” We agree – there is nothing natural about the selection being tested here. What they are testing is human ability to design something that can swim like an already existing animal with a backbone. These experiments, and the others referred to in the Yahoo article, are part of the growing science of “biomimetics” where biologists and engineers get together and use their pre-existing creative intelligence to design and build devices that work like biological structures. When they succeed they should logically argue they have irrefutable proof that the biological systems they copied are the work of a similar but smarter Creative Designer who made His creations with an inbuilt copy program for producing after their kind so they would not evolve. (Ref. biomimicry, engineering, robotics, locomotion)

3. WANTED: MORE ENEWS REPORTERS to keep track of what's occurring in schools, media, museums, and science institutions worldwide. Report to us so people can hear the latest 'creation evidence' almost instantly. Reports consist of 6 parts. 1. Catchy heading. 2. Accurate summary of article, news report, school program, museum display, etc in no more than 200 words. 3. Date of the item/event/newspaper article being reported. 4. Reference details showing full source. 5. Editorial comment, preferably no more than 100 words which makes a Biblical creation based point. 6. Your name and email. Articles should be forwarded to [info@creationresearch.net](mailto:info@creationresearch.net). Become a Creation Research Reporter and spread the good news about creation and the Creator. This is a voluntary position. All articles will be edited to best suit our format. We reserve the right to accept or reject any article. (Ref. reporters)

4. BIBLE SOCIETY DIRECTOR of Programmes, Canon Dr Ann Holt, defends Darwin, on Prayer for the Day, BBC Radio 4, 27<sup>th</sup> May 2009. She said: “It may surprise you to know that Charles Darwin had little interest in religious controversy, preferring instead to adopt a quiet and respectful attitude to people with whom he disagreed. Some of those on both sides of the faith and science debate could do with learning from the attitude of the man in whose name they sometimes quarrel. Polarisation outside the confines of formal debate is rarely helpful when it comes to moving a discussion forward, and can be utterly destructive of relationship. Recently as director of programmes for the Bible Society I've been in receipt of some exceedingly unpleasant letters

where it's clear that because the writers don't know me personally they think they can assume and say anything, just because they disagree with my actions. In our plural society, one of the most constructive initiatives I know of is that of scriptural reasoning groups. They are being used to bring Jews, Muslims and Christians together around their sacred texts. Freedom of thought and expression are precious gifts, not to be snubbed and should be used in enriching dialogue that we might understand one another as Darwin and the archbishop Frederick Temple did nearly 200 years ago. In the New Testament, the Pharisees could barely contain their anger when Jesus failed to conform to their stereotype of what a good rabbi should be like by picking ears of corn on the Sabbath for example. Angry people are rarely good judges of outcomes, soft answers are what turn away wrath, and can open the way to considered wisdom."

ED. COM. Towards the end of his life Darwin openly rejected the basic message of the Bible. He wrote "I am sorry to have to inform you that I do not believe in the Bible as a divine revelation, & therefore not in Jesus Christ as the son of God." (Letter to Frederick McDermott, 24<sup>th</sup> November 1880.) The Bible Society was established so that people all over the world could read the Scriptures and find salvation in Jesus Christ, who is God the Son, Creator, Saviour and Lord. As a director of the Bible Society, Ann Holt should be defending the Bible, rather than praising someone who rejected it. Darwin's gentlemanly attitude does not make him correct about science or Scripture. (Ref. philosophy, multi-faithism, apostasy)

5. NOTABLE QUOTABLE: DARWIN said, "I can indeed hardly see how anyone ought to wish Christianity to be true; for if so the plain language of the text seems to show that the men who do not believe, and this would include my Father, Brother and almost all my best friends, will be everlastingly punished. And this is a damnable doctrine." From p87 Autobiography of Charles Darwin, 1809-1882: with original omissions restored New York, W.W. Norton, 1969. Nora Barlow ed.

6. DESIGNER SNOWFLAKES described in University of Wisconsin-Madison news, 24<sup>th</sup> Feb 2009 and ScienceDaily 25 Feb 2009. Two mathematicians, David Griffeath of University of Wisconsin-Madison and Janko Gravner of University of California, Davis, have designed a computer model that generates the exquisite shape of snowflakes. All snowflakes have a basic hexagon structure, but there are multitudinous variations and embellishments on this structure that make each snowflake a work of art. The mathematicians took four years to develop their computer model which designs beautiful 3D structures, whimsically referred to as "snowfakes". The model "not only gets the basic shapes right, including fern-like stars, long needles and chunky prisms, but also fine elements such as tiny ridges that run along the arms and weird, circular surface markings." Griffeath commented that the underlying theory and computations are so intensive they are "right on the edge of feasibility." He went on to say "Water is the most amazing molecule in the universe, pure and simple. It's just three little atoms, but its physics and chemistry are unbelievable. Even though we've artfully stripped down the model over several years so that it's as simple and efficient as possible, it still takes us a day to grow one of these things." Griffeath is now working with a UW-Madison meteorologist on using the model for further research into how the various types of snowflakes formed in clouds affect the amount of water falling to earth. Slideshow of simulated snowflakes: <http://www.news.wisc.edu/newsphotos/snowflakes/> UW-Madison news: <http://www.news.wisc.edu/16322> ScienceDaily: <http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2009/02/090224163643.htm>

ED. COM. Snowflakes are often used as evidence for random processes producing increasing complexity and order and therefore producing evolution from chemicals to cells. The fact that it took two mathematicians using a computer four years to come up with something that only copies what is already in the real world proves that snowflake formation is not random – it is the result of creative design due to the inbuilt properties of hydrogen and oxygen. If you watch the slideshow of simulated snowflakes you will have to admit that those who designed both them and the slide show are worthy of your praise. How much more should we give thanks and praise to the Creator God who first made water in such a way that it would exhibit the properties it has. It is by the way the first substance mentioned in Genesis (1:1-2) and the earth was covered with it right from the start.

Lastly snowflakes are actually no use in showing evolution of structure as they only produce the combinations that are possible due to the design restraints built into them. Think about it! (Ref. design, crystallisation, snow)

7. DR MONTY WHITE REVIEWS Darwin on the Rocks: "Unlike so many DVDs from creation ministries, this DVD is not a "talking head" (i.e. a lecture) but is shot on location, or should I say locations, as John Mackay looks at rocks and fossils on the continents of Australasia, Europe and North America.

In this DVD, we find John talking enthusiastically about God's creation and Noah's Flood in the wet, windy hills of Wales, in the cold, snowy Alps, and in hot humid tropical jungles. He gets excited about the fossils he finds on beaches at sea level as well as in the rock strata in mountain ranges and he shows how they provide evidence of creation by Almighty God and of Noah's Flood.

John also interviews many scientists asking them about the history of evolutionary thought (it did not start with Darwin, by the way!) and about how they interpret the fossils and rocks that they find.

The more that we can learn about Darwin and his ideas, the better we will be able to counter the evolutionary teachings that are being taught us – especially in 2009 which is the bicentenary of Darwin's birth and the 150th anniversary of the publication of Darwin's The Origin of Species. This DVD will help us to be better acquainted with Darwin's ideas and so better able to show people that the rocks and fossils that we find actually support what the Bible teaches about the creation and the early history of the Earth rather than supporting Darwinian evolution.

This DVD is real value for money. It lasts for well over an hour and is in two parts – so you are really getting two presentations for the price of one!

Dr Monty White, Biblical Foundations UK, 13th May 2009

FREE DVD PREVIEW ONLINE - "Darwin on the rocks". Click [http://evidencweb.net/generic\\_search\\_results.php?p\\_SEARCHTYPE=Video\\_Gallery&p\\_playlist=0F86C15C512BD2DF](http://evidencweb.net/generic_search_results.php?p_SEARCHTYPE=Video_Gallery&p_playlist=0F86C15C512BD2DF) and then click on the picture under "Darwin on the Rocks".

WEB Order on [www.creationresearch.net](http://www.creationresearch.net) HOME PAGE CENTRE.

8. THE UK OFFICE will be closed for 'holiday' in July.

9. AUSSIES – JOIN US AT GYMPIE July 18<sup>th</sup> to help us get the next stage of the outdoor museum signage erected and new plants in the Genesis garden at our Gympie Flood Log Jam site. If you want a great day exercising in the delicious Queensland sun then book your place now via [info@creationresearch.net](mailto:info@creationresearch.net). Numbers are limited to 20 people only and it's free. This great fossil find is proving a magnet to students who have never seen anything like it, as evidence of catastrophic fossil formation. See more of this great discovery on <http://www.creationresearch.net/research/Gympie-Fossil-log-jam2.htm>.

10. AUSSIE SENATOR CHALLENGES CO2 THEORY in an article in The Australian, 8<sup>th</sup> June 2009. Senator Steven Fielding recently attended (at his own expense) a conference conducted by the Heartlands Institute where he saw for the first time evidence that recent changes in climate were not caused by carbon dioxide emissions, but by natural phenomena, in particular variation in solar activity. He wrote: "As an engineer, I have been trained to listen to both sides of the debate in order to make an informed decision about any issue. Any scientist worth their salt will tell you that in order to form a conclusive view about any topic you need to properly explore all available possibilities." He says that he had accepted the idea of man-made global warming without question, but "At the conference I attended on Tuesday hosted by the Heartland Institute, I heard views that challenged the Rudd government's set of 'facts'. Views that could not be dismissed as mere conspiracy theories, but that were derived using proper scientific analysis. The idea that

climate change is a result of the variation in solar activity and not related to the increase of CO<sub>2</sub> into the atmosphere is not something I can remember ever being discussed in the media. The question of whether global warming is a new phenomenon or something that is just part of the naturally occurring 1500-year climate cycle was never raised in any of the discussions I have had with the Rudd government.” Fielding then notes that a recent Parliamentary library report completely ignored any evidence against man-made global warming and asks: “Why are these opposing arguments treated with such disdain and, in fact, largely ignored?” He then states: “I raise these questions not because I am wholly convinced of the merits of these arguments. Rather, because I believe that only by having a healthy debate on the issues and not shirking from these confronting facts can we expect to arrive at the proper conclusion, whatever that may be. I have been criticised by some for raising these questions. However, I firmly believe that a fear of doing something unpopular should never get in the way of the responsibility to do what is right.”  
Fielding’s article: <http://www.theaustralian.news.com.au/story/0,25197,25601203-7583,00.html>

ED. COM. For those not familiar with Australian politics Senator Fielding is a member of a small conservative political party named “Family First”. The “Rudd government” refers to Kevin Rudd, the Prime Minister, who wants to introduce a carbon emissions trading scheme, but because his political party does not have a majority in the Senate, Rudd needs Senator Fielding’s support for it to be voted into law. So far, neither politicians nor scientists seem to be taking Fielding’s questions seriously, which brings us to the important principles raised by Fielding, even for those not involved in Australian politics: ignoring or pouring scorn on an opposing opinion is not the way to find the truth, and sometimes it is necessary to take an unpopular stand to maintain the truth. (Ref. politics, weather, philosophy)

11. ANOTHER CELL PROOFREADER FOUND, according to a report in EurekAlert, 7<sup>th</sup> Jan 2009. Scientists at Johns Hopkins University have found another quality control step in the process of making proteins from instructions encoded in DNA. Proteins are long strings of amino acids which are folded into complex three dimensional shapes. The shape of the protein must be exactly right if it is to carry out its function. To make a protein, the information from DNA is copied onto another molecule named RNA. The information on RNA is used by the cell’s protein making machines, called ribosomes, to assemble the protein by stringing amino acids together. If a wrong amino acid is connected to the growing string the ribosome stops the process and rapidly ejects the partially completed protein. Molecular biologist Rachel Green explained: “We thought that once the mistake was made, it would have just gone on to make the next bond and the next. But instead, we noticed that one mistake on the ribosomal assembly line begets another, and it’s this compounding of errors that leads to the partially finished protein being tossed into the cellular trash.” Green went on to say, “The cell is a wasteful system in that it makes something and then says, forget it, throw it out. But it’s evidently worth the waste to increase fidelity. There are places in life where fidelity matters.”

EurekAlert: [http://www.eurekalert.org/pub\\_releases/2009-01/jhmi-lit010709.php](http://www.eurekalert.org/pub_releases/2009-01/jhmi-lit010709.php)

ED. COM. This protein proofreading system is one of many systems in the cell that ensure cellular information is not changed in its storage, copying or application, i.e. cells are designed to NOT evolve new genetic information or new proteins. This is one mechanism which ensures they do produce after their kind as Genesis states God created them to do (Genesis 1:11 ff). After all proof reading and back-up systems, whether in a human designed computer or the one found in this study, are evidence of plan and purpose, not random evolution. (Ref. biochemistry, protein synthesis, design)

12. WINNERS FOR THE DR ALLEN HALL UNIVERSITY SCHOLARSHIP in Romania for 2009 are: 1<sup>st</sup> – Corneliu Jitareanu; 2<sup>nd</sup> – Bodgan Costea; 3<sup>rd</sup> – Schoger Rudolf Andreas. Mentions were made of: Buzle Samuel Cristian and Pop Robert. Congratulations. Readers - your financial help enables us to provide such student scholarships to encourage further research on creation for the

next generation of leaders. Donate on line via [www.creationresearch.net](http://www.creationresearch.net) click DONATIONS – tax deductible in USA and UK.

13. NEW HUNGARIAN DR STEWART SCHOLARSHIPS are well under way and being administered locally by The Protestant Creation Research Group ([www.kezdetek.hu](http://www.kezdetek.hu)) who are well advanced in promoting the competition to support the Biblical creation model. They write "On the 200th anniversary of Darwin's birth, Christians are expected to apply without any age limit. With the competition, we would like to encourage them to get involved in the work of creation science." The competition will also be held to honour Hungarian Scientist Sámuel Diószegi (Diószegi Sámuel in Hungarian) who was a reformed Calvinist (or Presbyterian in English) pastor (1761-1813). He was an acknowledged Christian pastor. He wrote two Hymns, which we still sing at worship. He is also the founder of botany in Hungary; therefore he is famous in scientific circles. He is a very good example of how science and adherence to the Bible can work hand in hand.

14. SECRET OF FOUR-WINGED FLAPPING REVEALED in an article in ScienceNOW, 14<sup>th</sup> May 2008. Dragonflies and damselflies have four wings, which they can move independently using a special system of muscles that other insects do not have. (Other four winged insects, such as butterflies, move their wings synchronously, as if they only had two wings.) Computer models of out of phase four winged flapping have to date shown it is less efficient – reducing the amount of lift generated for the amount of energy expended.

Biologists James Usherwood, of the Royal Veterinary College in London, and Fritz-Olaf Lehmann, of University of Ulm, Germany, built a robot dragonfly for a more practical test of the aerodynamics of four winged flying. It turned out that four winged flapping was more efficient in generating lift if the hind wings flapped one-quarter of a wing beat ahead of the front wings. The hind wings were able to capture the rush of air sent by the front wings and produce lift with 22% less power than two-winged insects require. More studies will need to be done, including studying real dragonflies, but the researchers think their finding will help engineers design more efficient micro air vehicles.

ED. COM. You can't help but notice it can you - mindless chance or naturalistic processes did not make the model dragonfly, so it really is foolish to claim that the real dragonfly, which is much more complex, came about by mindless random or naturalistic chance. So let's say it one last time for this enews. If engineers do manage to apply the dragonfly's wing beats to a micro air vehicle they will have only reinforced the fact that it takes creative design and clever engineering to make something fly, whether it is made of biological molecules or metal and plastic. (Ref. insects, flying, engineering)

15. BIRD BREATHING CHALLENGES DINO THEORY, according to ScienceDaily 9<sup>th</sup> June 2009 and Fossil Science 11<sup>th</sup> June 2009. Birds have a distinctive breathing system that involves passing air through a series of balloon-like sacs as well as their lungs. A group of scientists at Oregon State University (OSU) has made a careful study of how birds breathe and found that they use their thighs to support the air sacs in the abdomen, rather than for walking. Devon Quick, a zoologist at OSU explained: "This is fundamental to bird physiology. It's really strange that no one realized this before. The position of the thigh bone and muscles in birds is critical to their lung function, which in turn is what gives them enough lung capacity for flight." It has long been known that birds keep their thigh bones held rigidly to the sides of their bodies and walk with most of the movement occurring at the knees rather than their hips. This is a very different form of locomotion from other land animals and presents a real challenge to the belief that running dinosaurs evolved into birds. John Ruben, an OSU professor of zoology, explained that "theropod dinosaurs had a moving femur and therefore could not have had a lung that worked like that in birds. Their abdominal air sac, if they had one, would have collapsed. That undercuts a critical piece of supporting evidence for the dinosaur-bird link. A velociraptor did not just sprout feathers at some point and fly off into the sunset. Although dinosaur to bird evolution is presented in both professional and popular media as a given fact there is growing evidence against it. Ruben commented: "For one thing, birds are found earlier in the fossil record than the dinosaurs they are supposed to have descended from. That's a pretty serious problem, and there are other inconsistencies with the bird-from-dinosaur

theories.” The researchers believe that dinosaurs and birds evolved independently from a common ancestor.

ED. COM. Ruben's comment is important – you cannot just add feathers to a dinosaur and expect it to fly, or walk, like a bird. Birds have a combination of special structures, including their unique one-way-flow lungs that enable them to fly and walk the way they do. There is another important structural problem that has been overlooked by evolutionists, although it has been known about for a long time. Dinosaurs are classified into two groups according to whether they have bird-like hip bones or lizard-like hip bones. Therapods, which are supposed to have evolved into birds, have lizard hips. As more evidence from fossils and living creatures is gathered it is about time scientists admitted that birds are designed to be birds and dinosaurs to be dinosaurs, each with their own unique combination of features. (Ref. respiration, anatomy, reptiles, aves)

16. WALKING WITH WHITE BLOOD CELLS described in an article in ScienceDaily 4<sup>th</sup> May 2009. White blood cells use the blood circulation system to transport them to sites of tissue damage and infection, but they then need to move out of the blood vessels in order to do their work. To get out of the blood vessels they “walk” along the inner surface looking for signs that this is the right place to push their way through the vessel wall. It was always assumed that the cells moved amoebae-like– extruding a part of the cell in front then folding up and dragging the rest of the cell along. Immunologists Ronen Alon and Ziv Shulman of the Weizmann Institute, Israel have studied this process more closely and found that white blood cells form lots of small extrusions, about one micron in length and move along like millipedes. These tiny temporary legs are covered with adhesion molecules that bind to partner adhesion molecules present on the surface of the blood vessels. The legs embed themselves in the surface of the cells lining the vessel wall enabling the white cells to get a good grip so they can move forward and also serve as probes looking for the exit signs on the vessel wall. The researchers also found that the force of the blood flowing over the cell is necessary for the process to work. Without it the white cells are unable to grip the vessel wall and sense the signals from the damaged tissue where they need to move through the vessel wall. It seems the sheer force of flowing blood causes the adhesion molecules to enter highly active states and without it the white blood cells couldn't sense the exit signals or get to the site of the injury. The scientists are hoping that understanding white cell movement will enable them to develop methods of controlling over-aggressive white cell activity that occurs in auto-immune diseases.

ScienceDaily: <http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2009/05/090504094424.htm>

ED. COM. Notice how many things are needed for this system to work – the flow of blood, the millipede legs with adhesion molecules, the vessel wall cells with complementary adhesion molecules, the exit signalling molecules at the site of injury, and that is just to get the cell to the site of its work. Intelligent human scientists are just beginning to understand how intelligently designed this system is, and it will take more creative intelligence to modify the system so we can use it for what we want. We predict that the reason it sometimes goes wrong will be found to be a degenerate loss of a normal control, which will fit ONLY WITH the history of the world beginning with a very good creation followed by degeneration, as described in Genesis 1- 3. (Ref. design, haematology, immunity)

17. FREE CREATION RESEARCH ATTACHMENT STUDIES AVAILABLE.

To receive any of the following studies contact [info@creationresearch.net](mailto:info@creationresearch.net) and ask for attachments by name.

TOPICS INCLUDE:

Being Holey- body piercing

Bridge Stalactites

Creation to Christmas

Creation to the Cross

Cremation

Did Darwin Repent?

Euthanasia

Galapagos Finches

Human Genome - Drowning in the Gene Pool

Is Allah the Creator God of Abraham & Moses?

Predictions from creation

Six Days of Creation: Calvin, Luther and Augustine on Six Days

Tsunami, Suffering and a Sovereign Creator

What happens to aborted babies?

18. DONATIONS TO HELP CREATION RESEARCH WORLDWIDE can be sent to the following addresses or use our secure Web site: [www.creationresearch.net](http://www.creationresearch.net) and click DONATIONS.

USA: P.O. Box 281 Hartsville TN 37074 (Donations in USA are tax deductible. Make checks to Creation Education Society)

UK: P.O. Box 1 Ashton under Lyne Lancs. OL6 9WW (Donations in UK payable to Creation Research Trust are tax deductible - a Gift Aid Declaration is required - available from <http://www.amen.org.uk/cr/trust/>)

AUSTRALIA: P.O. Box 260 Capalaba Qld 4157

CANADA: Westney Heights Baptist Church 1201 Ravenscroft Rd Ajax Ont. L1T 4K5

NEW ZEALAND: P.O. Box 40480 Glenfield, Auckland

IF YOU no longer wish to receive our updates please reply with REMOVE EN in the subject. To assist us please include your name as well as e-mail address (and organisation name, if any).