

## Evidence News 08/10 – 22<sup>nd</sup> September 2010AD

**WELCOME** to Worcestershire Source pouring on Prof Steve Hawking's atheistic theories of origin, in the September 2010 Evidence News as loads of questions about life and death in the created good world of Genesis also come in. Special thanks for all your support from John Mackay and the world wide team of Creation Research. You will enjoy the EDitorial COMments.

This week's Evidence News **Index** follows - to view the entire e-news please click <http://www.creationresearch.net/enews/ENEWS0810-100922.pdf> (if the link doesn't work just copy and paste it into your web browser).

(Please Note: within the PDF file you can click on the Index Line to go directly to the news item.)

© Creation Research 2010

### **INDEX**

- 1. AUSSIE OUTBACK TRIP SUCCESS**
- 2. WORCESTERSHIRE SOURCE POURS ON HAWKING**
- 3. DON'T MISS THE 2011 DINO FOSSIL BUS TRIP TO THE AUSSIE OUTBACK**
- 4. SOUTH AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT REMOVES CREATION BAN**
- 5. MEET THE UK TRUSTEES**
- 6. BIBLICAL BEEKEEPING DISCOVERED**
- 7. TREE THERAPY**
- 8. HAWKING'S SQUAWKINGS**
- 9. DEBATE ONLINE**
- 10. HOW FLYING FISH FLY**
- 11. MEXICANS MAKE FISH EVOLVE**
- 12. FUNGAL FRIEND FOR RICE FOUND**
- 13. SPECTACULAR NEW FOSSIL TRILOBITE**
- 14. CREATION MUSEUM**
- 15. F.A.Q. LIFE AND DEATH QUESTIONS**
- 16. NOTABLE QUOTABLE ON EVOLUTIONARY CONSENSUS**
- 17. DONATIONS TO HELP CREATION RESEARCH WORLDWIDE**

**1. AUSSIE OUTBACK TRIP SUCCESS** as first pictures now online click [HERE](#). Exciting time, great blessings and some real trip - ulation.

**2. WORCESTERSHIRE SOURCE POURS ON HAWKING**, reports BBC News and Daily Mail p61 8 Sept 2010, as Anglican vicar in Worcestershire, England has challenged Stephen Hawking's recent claims that God was not needed to create and the laws of physics alone made the universe. Vicar Andy Kelso has been bold enough to state that the phenomena that physicists study, i.e. time, matter, energy, power and motion, did not come into existence until the universe was created by God. (Genesis 1:1-2)

Kelso has also stated that the Bible was way ahead of human scientists in understanding physics and gives the following examples: 1. "In Genesis 2:1 describing God completing His work, the word "completed" means "never to return again". Thousands of years later the First Law of Thermodynamics stated the same thing, that matter cannot be created." 2. "Three places in the Bible indicate that the earth is wearing out: Isaiah 51:6, Psalm 102:25-26, Hebrews 1:11, but this is exactly what the Second Law of Thermodynamics states, that everything is running down and wearing out." 3. "In Job 38:35, God makes a seemingly ludicrous scientific statement that light can be sent and then manifest itself in speech. But radio waves travel at the speed of light and science only discovered this in 1864."

Kelso then goes on to remind readers that Hawking's atheistic world view leaves people without a moral compass, and it is no coincidence that since God and the Bible have been marginalised in the UK over

the last century, British society has degenerated into a “society in free fall, family breakdowns and increased crime and disorder.” Kelso writes: “.. in the first half of the 1800's our nation was marked by high levels of crime and violence and moral decay which dropped dramatically in the late 1800's and early 1900's.” It is no coincidence that during this time enrolments in Sunday School increased, until by 1888, 75 percent of children were taught the Bible. Kelso concludes: “I know which society I would rather have!”

BBC:

[http://news.bbc.co.uk/local/herfordandworcester/hi/people\\_and\\_places/religion\\_and\\_ethics/newsid\\_8980000/8980405.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/local/herfordandworcester/hi/people_and_places/religion_and_ethics/newsid_8980000/8980405.stm)

ED. COM. We are pleased to see finally someone from the church stand up and defend the Scriptures. Kelso's basic tenet is right – the laws of physics did not come into being until the universe existed. The very bases of physical reality, i.e. time, space and matter, were created by God, as described in the first verses of Genesis. Before that there was no physical universe, and therefore no laws of physics. It takes someone outside of time, space and matter to bring matter, energy, etc into existence as well as the laws by which they work. Kelso is also right about the effect on human society that teaching people: there is no God in charge of the universe; there is no personal Creator to tell them how to live for their well being, or to call them to account if they disobey His instructions; and no Lord Jesus to provide salvation from their rebellion. If there is neither Creator, Judge nor Saviour, then human beings are just pointless victims of Darwin's war of nature, and human society will degenerate to a meaningless struggle for existence. (Ref. theology, philosophy, sociology)

**3. DON'T MISS THE 2011 DINO FOSSIL BUS TRIP TO THE AUSSIE OUTBACK** – We have requests to organise a trip to the Centre of Australia - Uluru / Ayers Rock etc - and the dinosaur fields. Keep watching for details.

**4. SOUTH AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT REMOVES CREATION BAN**, according to the “Policies of the Non-Government Schools Registration Board applicable to the registration and review of Non-government schools 2010/2011”. Last year we reported the South Australian government had put the following statement in their 2009/2010 policy guidelines for science teaching in non-government schools: “The board requires the teaching of Science as an empirical discipline, focussing on inquiry, hypothesis, investigation, experimentation, observation and evidential analysis. The Board does not accept as satisfactory a science curriculum in a non-Government school which is based on, espouses or reflects the literal interpretation of a religious text in its treatment of creationism or intelligent design.”

This statement meant that Christian schools (and Jewish and Muslim) were banned from teaching, or even allowing students to research, evidence for creation, Noah's Flood and the Tower of Babel. Since then a new set of policy guidelines has been published for 2010/2011. In the section on science teaching the sentence beginning “The Board does not accept ...” has been removed. This leaves the guidelines for teaching science simply as: “The board requires the teaching of Science as an empirical discipline, focussing on inquiry, hypothesis, investigation, experimentation, observation and evidential analysis.”

ED. COM. We are pleased to see the lifting of this ban. Now it is important that Christian Schools take the opportunity to teach their students the strengths and limitations of the scientific method. Science is about observing the world around us as it is NOW. Christian schools should encourage this – studying the existing creation should lift students' sights to the Creator. However, since the origin of the world is outside the direct observation of any living human being, and therefore, outside the boundaries of the scientific method, science is NO use in discovering anything but the latest guesses of men who will make theories in harmony only with their presuppositions. Therefore, all people choose to either believe the testimony of the Creator who was there, or choose to ignore it. Ignoring it is not science, even when atheists like to pretend that it is. The Bible will stand up to any honest scrutiny. Therefore, Christian schools should encourage students to ask: when God says something is true, what would the evidence be? Then go and look for that evidence. To help students find such evidence Creation Research has set up our web museum [click here](#) with its many FREE resources, including video clips, slide shows articles and 3D images of fossils. (Ref. education, politics, philosophy)

**5. MEET THE UK TRUSTEES** for the Creation Research Trust click [HERE](#).

**6. BIBLICAL BEEKEEPING DISCOVERED**, according to articles in *New Scientist*, 9 June 2010, p16 and *PNAS* online June 7, 2010, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1003265107. Amihai Mazar of the Hebrew University of Jerusalem has found the oldest apiary in the world in the ancient town of Tel Rehov in the Jordan valley in northern Israel. The hives consisted of 30 clay cylinders similar to traditional hives still used in the Near East and depicted in ancient Egyptian paintings. The hives are dated as 10th–early 9th centuries BC and are described as being “about 80 centimetres long and 40 cm in diameter. Each one has a hole on one side which would have served as a ‘bee flap’ and a lid on the opposite side to give bee-keepers access to the honeycomb.” The hives contained remains of honeybee workers, drones, pupae, and larvae. The researchers who studied the hives expected the bees to be Syrian bees, a locally occurring subspecies of honey bee, but they turned out to be a subspecies that is now found in Turkey.

It seems this was a clever choice by the Biblical beekeepers. Turkish bees are less aggressive than Syrian bees and produce up to eight times as much honey. The research team write in their *PNAS* paper: “This finding suggests either that the Western honeybee subspecies distribution has undergone rapid change during the last 3,000 years or that the ancient inhabitants of Tel Rehov imported bees superior to the local bees in terms of their milder temper and improved honey yield.” Turkish bees normally live in a cooler, wetter climate than Israel. Guy Boch, one of the researchers, commented: “In order to get the bees to thrive in the warm, dry climate of northern Israel, these bee-keepers must have been highly skilled.” *New Scientist* notes that the land of ancient Israel is described as “a land flowing with milk and honey” but goes on to say: “Because no evidence for bee-keeping had been found until now, ‘honey’ was deemed to mean jam.” Guy Bloch commented: “Our discovery suggests that this aspect of the Bible may need to be reinterpreted.”

New Scientist: <http://www.newscientist.com/article/dn19013-biblical-beekeepers-picked-the-best-bees.html>

ED. COM. Suggesting Biblical words like Honey should be read as Jam, merely presumes the archaeologist is the final authority. But as has always turned out - the Bible does not need to be reinterpreted, as it has always told the truth. They may be correct about the distribution of bees changing over the last 3000 years, but the finding reminds us again that it's the Archaeologists who need to catch up to the Scripture as an accurate document.

Such findings also remind us that ancient people were intelligent in many ways. Liberal theologians often claim that ancient people were simple, and could not understand modern science, and therefore had to be told simple myths about their origins. God who created human brains had no need to talk down to His ancient people, and has told them and us the truth, and there is no excuse for disbelieving it or trying to make it say something it doesn't. (Ref. agriculture, insects, technology)

**7. TREE THERAPY** reported in ABC News in Science 3 May 2010 and ScienceDaily 26 July 2010. Researchers at University of Essex have analysed a number of studies of the health benefits of “green exercise”, i.e. exercise in natural settings. The activities included walking, gardening, cycling, fishing, boating, horse-riding and farming. The studies involved people of both genders, across the age and social spectrum, and included people with mental health problems. All benefitted from the outdoor exercise, with the young and mentally ill benefitting most. All kinds of green spaces had beneficial effects, but the effects were enhanced if they included water.

These results fit with previous studies that show visiting, or living in, places with trees has also been found to reduce stress, improve moods, reduce anger and aggressiveness and increase overall happiness. People also recover faster after stressful or concentration demanding situations in natural environments, rather than urban settings. Time spent in natural environments had positive effects on heart rate, blood pressure and muscle tension, and led to decrease in stress-related hormones. According to Eeva Karjalainen, of the Finnish Forest Research Institute, Metla, “Many people feel relaxed and good when they are out in nature. But not many of us know that there is also scientific evidence about the healing effects of nature.”

ABC: <http://www.abc.net.au/science/articles/2010/05/03/2888903.htm?>

ScienceDaily: <http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2010/07/100723161221.htm>

ED. COM. Man's first home was a garden full of trees that were "good for food and pleasing to the eye", with a river flowing through it as Genesis 1 and 2 remind us. When God completed this Edenic Garden and gave it to the first man and woman, He declared it to be "very good". Therefore, it is no surprise that health researchers find that environments like this are good for human beings, physically and mentally. Urban planners and environmental engineers should read Genesis and consider the environment provided by God for human beings to live in and make their plans accordingly. (Ref. vegetation, well-being)

**8. HAWKING'S SQUAWKINGS** as British Physicist Prof Stephen Hawking tells the world: "God was not needed to create the Universe!" you need to remember we have access to an eyewitness account which states; "Stephen Hawking was not needed to create the universe" (source God – Genesis 1 – John 1) More details of Hawking's claim that the law of gravity was all that was needed to do the big bang, and gravity alone could make a universe from nothing was reported in <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/science/science-news/7976594/Stephen-Hawking-God-was-not-needed-to-create-the-Universe.html>.

What has truly concerned us is that the media commentators or interviews with physicists or churchmen show few have been brave enough to ask Hawking about the obvious flaw in his system as to where the 'Law of Gravity' came from so it could do its thing to create (sorry - evolve) us all?

What a fool Hawking is going to be shown for (as are all the church leaders not brave enough to call Hawking's statement for what it is) when they all appear before the Creator Christ for judgement.

**9. DEBATE ONLINE** if you want to see John Mackay vs Chris Tyler in Manchester UK click [HERE](#).

**10. HOW FLYING FISH FLY**, described in e!Science News 9 Sept 2010, and *Journal of Experimental Biology* (JEB) and ScienceShots, 10 Sept 2010, doi: 10.1242/jeb.050880. Flying fish have been observed to leap out of the water and glide for distances of up to 400m (1300') at speeds of 70km/h. Haecheon Choi and Hyungmin Park, two Korean engineers from Seoul National University have analysed the aerodynamics of flying fish and found they are exceptional fliers close to the water surface and can glide as well as birds, such as hawks, petrels and wood ducks. Choi and Park used five similar sized fish that had been killed, dried and stuffed, in a series of wind tunnel experiments to determine the lift to drag ratios for the fish with their fins in different positions and at different distances from the surface. Lift to drag ratio is a measure of the horizontal distance travelled relative to the descent in height during a glide and indicates how good a flying object is at staying airborne. Flying fish did best when they flew close and parallel to the surface with their wing-like fins spread horizontally. The researchers also used smoke to visualise the flow of air around the fishes' bodies and found "the tandem arrangement of the large pectoral fin at the front and smaller pelvic fin at the back of the fish's body accelerates the air flow towards the tail like a jet, increasing the fish's lift-to-drag ratio further and improving its flying performance even more." During their research they also analysed the stability of the fish with its fins swept back in the swimming position. They found it was unstable, which is "exactly what you need for aquatic manoeuvrability." They concluded: "So flying fish are superbly adapted for life in both environments" (i.e. air and water).

e! science news: <http://esciencenews.com/articles/2010/09/10/flying.fish.glide.well.birds>

ED. COM. If the researchers substituted the word "designed" for "adapted" we would agree with them completely. To explain how a fish that can only swim can convert to one that can swim and fly you have to explain how the genetic changes came about for growth of the fins into the right shape, and also how the fish came to know to hold the fins in the right configuration for flying. Simply jumping out of the water, as many fish do, will not achieve this no matter how long they do it. (Ref. design, ichthyology, hydrodynamics)

**11. MEXICANS MAKE FISH EVOLVE**, according to *New Scientist*, 14 Sept 2010 and *Biology Letters* online 8 Sept 2010 doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2010.0663. In an article entitled “Religious rite gives evolution a helping hand”, *New Scientist* claims that the Zoque people of southern Mexico are causing fish in a sulphurous cave named Cueva del Azufre to evolve. The Zoque people stun the fish with the mashed root of the Barbasco plant, as part of a ritual to ask their gods for rain. The root contains a powerful anaesthetic and a research team led by Mark Tobler of Texas A&M University have studied the effects of it on fish from the cave and on fish of the same species from upstream. They found “Barbasco tolerance increases with body size and differs between the sexes. Furthermore, fish from sites exposed to the ceremony had a significantly higher tolerance.” According to *New Scientist* these results suggest “over time, evolution has selected fish that can cope with the toxin.” Tobler commented: “The study indicates that the fish have adapted to the local Zoque traditions.”

ED. COM. The difference between the two groups of fish has involved selection, but it is not evolution or even adaptation. In the cave, fish that were originally most sensitive to the Barbasco plant have been killed off, leaving only fish that were already less sensitive to survive and breed. It is not adaptation because the surviving fish already had tolerance to the anaesthetic. They did not change. The fish are still the same species and have not changed into any other species. Therefore, there is no evolution either. This article reminds us to be discerning about the use of the words *evolution* and *adaptation* and other catchphrases used to promote evolution. (Ref. ichthyology, toxins)

**12. FUNGAL FRIEND FOR RICE FOUND**, according to a report in ScienceNOW, 10 June 2010 and ScienceDaily 11 June 2010. The vast majority of plants have a symbiotic relationship with an Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus. The fungus helps the plant absorb nutrients, especially phosphates, from the soil in return for getting sugar from the plant. However, rice does not seem to have such a fungal helper and plant researchers have wondered if rice plants would grow better if a fungus could be found that would form a symbiotic relationship with them.

Ian Sanders, a plant pathologist, and his colleagues at the University of Lausanne in Switzerland, have studied the genetics of a species of Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus named *Glomus intraradices* and after careful cultivation of individual fungal spores over several generations found some fungal spores that could form a mutually beneficial relationship with rice and enhance the growth of rice plants by up to five times. If this plant/fungus relationship can be transferred from the laboratory to the field, it could have an enormous impact on the yield of one of the world's most important food crops.

ScienceDaily: <http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2010/06/100610125619.htm>

ED. COM. The more we look at the living world, the more we are finding that symbiosis is the beneficial norm. This certainly fits with Genesis which tells us that God made fully functioning ecosystems which were very good, i.e. worked with maximum benefit for all living things within them. The world degenerated after man sinned and God cursed the ground, and again after the great Flood with its radically induced climate change, so as a result some mutually beneficial relationships have broken down. It seems most likely that rice lost its symbiotic help, and therefore, has not been growing to its full potential ever since. The fact that some fungal spores have been found that can form a mutually beneficial relationship with rice, is consistent with the thought that rice and fungi used to have symbiotic relationship but lost it due to genetic degeneration in the fungal spores or the rice plants, or both.

**13. SPECTACULAR NEW FOSSIL TRILOBITE** joins our main collection. Go to <http://www.creationresearch.net> and click on picture link on right side. A big thank you for your support which enables us to research, travel, get out and find, swap, or obtain these fabulous specimens to illustrate that the creatures right from the bottom of the geologic column are never simple or unsophisticated like the evolutionist demands, but that all creatures found at any level in the rock record show the evidence of God's genius in design.

JOIN US WITH YOUR WEB GIFTS NOW click [HERE](#).

**14. CREATION MUSEUM** report from Martin Legemaate as our Ontario museum opens up for small group trips. Martin writes: “we are now taking small group tours. They run for about 1 hour. There are fossil handouts and attendees can win a fabulous local fossil. Tour details contact curator Martin Legemaate at (905) 888-0188 or [mlegemaate@hotmail.com](mailto:mlegemaate@hotmail.com).

A selection of minerals, fossils and DVDs are now available for purchase. Martin recently spent time in Alberta with Vance Nelson gaining experience in the Dinosaur fields, and put the trip on the web go to <http://www.creationresearchontario.weebly.com> then click newsletter.

CANADA TAX DEDUCTIBLE SUPPORT FOR OUR COLLEAGUES – click [HERE](#).

**15. F.A.Q. LIFE AND DEATH QUESTIONS** are in this year as we received a number asking about what animals ate in the original created world described in Genesis, where there was no death.

FAQ: “BIRDS such as woodpeckers, seem very well designed to eat grubs and insects, so what would they have eaten in the Edenic world?” This enquirer also shared they were confused after reading a reference to insects on another creation group’s website, which stated invertebrates were not really “living creatures” in the Biblical sense, and therefore could have been eaten in the same way plants could.

OUR ANSWER: Invertebrates are animals that don’t have backbones and include worms, insects, crabs, spiders, centipedes, millipedes, slaters, snails and slugs. A good catch-all description of these creatures would be “creepy-crawlies” – quite close to Bible’s description of one group of “living creature” included in the creation of the land dwelling animals. (Genesis 1: 24)

The term “living creature” is derived from a Hebrew word *nephesh* meaning breath. Genesis 1:24-25 tells us that God created livestock, beasts of the earth and creeping things. Invertebrates are among the creeping things, or, as in some translations, creatures that crawl on the ground.

After God created the first man and woman he told them what they and the animals could eat. The animals are again listed in these same three categories (livestock, beasts of the earth and creeping things), and along with the flying creatures, they are further described as being creatures with the breath of life, i.e. *nephesh*, in them. (Genesis 1:30) The animals and birds are told to eat plants. Plants are never described as having *nephesh* or breath of life.

The animals that came to Noah to be kept alive on the ark are also described in these three categories, and as having the breath of life in them. (Genesis 6:17-20, 7:14-15) After Noah’s Flood, God gave mankind permission to eat all the “moving things that live”, i.e. birds and animals. However, there is one strict proviso for being allowed to eat meat – man must not eat meat with its lifeblood in it, because the life, i.e. *nephesh*, is in the blood. (Genesis 9:2-4) God’s instructions indicate that all the “moving things that live”, including the creeping things, contain lifeblood, and are different from the plants that God had previously given man to eat. To affirm this was His meaning, God further qualified His statement by contrasting the things that move with the green plants that don’t (Genesis 9:3).

By putting together God’s instructions about living creatures we can summarise that they are creatures with breath and blood. Invertebrates breathe and have blood, i.e. fluid carrying dissolved gases, nutrients and waste products, body defence cells (white blood cells) and proteins that are actively pumped around the body. They are therefore “living creatures” or creatures with *nephesh*. This means that in the original very good world man, animals or birds did not eat invertebrates or kill them. Plant sap pales in complexity compared to blood.

Some people have suggested that it would have been impossible for Adam to have avoided squashing a few bugs as he walked on the ground or worked in the garden. But think about what a “very good” world would be like. This was a world of lush vegetation and deep rich soils. Bugs could quickly move to a

place of safety when they were aware of Adam and Eve walking on the ground or picking fruit from the trees. We know from the fossil record that some insects and other invertebrates were quite large, so people would have no trouble seeing them and avoiding them. Along with this is the fascinating fossil data that the fossil record reveals that some insects such as slaters, ants, dragon flies mosquitoes etc were so large, Adam would have had to step up to even stand on them. Therefore people would have no trouble seeing them and avoiding them.

All plants and animals lived in perfectly sustainable proportions. Insects and other bugs would not have existed in the nuisance numbers they are now, and would not have attacked or annoyed people or ravaged the vegetation, so there was no temptation to swat them or kill them. Therefore, like all living creatures, invertebrates would not have died before the Fall of Man, when death came into the world, and no other animals or birds would have eaten them.

OUR CONCLUSION: The Bible does make a distinction between the life of plants and animals, but there is no basis for classifying invertebrates with plants rather than animals, and therefore, not having the same life as animals. The Bible also treats plants as foundation machinery for all other creatures to eat and the concept of death does not apply to them. It's one reason nobody feels sorry for the apple they just ate, and why some people are so life-sensitive they are not only vegetarian, they won't even squash a bug or a butterfly.

**16. NOTABLE QUOTABLE ON EVOLUTIONARY CONSENSUS:** "Evolutionary change occurs on different scales: 'microevolution' is generally equated with events at or below the species level whereas 'macroevolution' is change above the species level, including the formation of species. A long-standing issue in evolutionary biology is whether the processes observable in extant populations and species (microevolution) are sufficient to account for the larger-scale changes evident over longer periods of life's history (macroevolution). Outsiders to this rich literature may be surprised that there is no consensus on this issue, and that strong viewpoints are held at both ends of the spectrum, with many undecided." Sean B. Carroll, geneticist, Howard Hughes Medical Institute and University of Wisconsin-Madison, Nature, vol. 409, p669, 8 February 2001

ED. COM. The reason there is no consensus on this issue, even amongst evolutionists, is because "processes observable in extant (living) populations and species" such as Natural selection, mutation and the struggle for life, may result in real changes such as variation and degeneration within kinds, and loss of some kinds. BUT since such changes have never been observed to make one kind change into another, they cannot be labelled evolution.

"Larger-scale changes evident over longer periods of life's history" referred to by Dr. Carroll above, are based on interpreting the fossil record using evolution as your apriori assumption, hence they are only evident in the minds of evolutionists. The real observation is that different kinds of creatures are buried in the fossil record. In spite of the dogmatic enthusiastic assertions by Dawkins, Attenborough, etc. evolution is a belief held by faith, not an observable fact. (Ref. philosophy, world view, scientific method) (Thanks to Prof Ed Neeland for sending us this quote)

**17. DONATIONS TO HELP CREATION RESEARCH WORLDWIDE** can be sent to the following addresses or use our secure Web site: <http://www.creationresearch.net> and click DONATIONS. Donations in USA/UK are tax deductible. See instructions online.  
CANADA TAX DEDUCTIBLE SUPPORT FOR OUR COLLEAGUES click [HERE](#)  
USA: P.O. Box 281 Hartsville TN 37074 (Donations in USA are tax deductible. Make checks to Creation Education Society)  
UK: P.O. Box 1 Ashton under Lyne Lancs. OL6 9WW (Donations in UK payable to Creation Research Trust are tax deductible - a Gift Aid Declaration is required - available from <http://www.amen.org.uk/cr/trust/>)  
AUSTRALIA: P.O. Box 260 Capalaba Qld 4157  
CANADA: Westney Heights Baptist Church 1201 Ravenscroft Rd Ajax Ont. L1T 4K5  
NEW ZEALAND: P.O. Box 40480 Glenfield 0747, Auckland

**Evidence News 08/10 – 22<sup>nd</sup> September 2010AD**

IF YOU no longer wish to receive our updates please reply with REMOVE EN in the subject. To assist us please include your name as well as e-mail address (and organisation name, if any)