



The 15 year old High Schooler had just watched David Attenborough present man's ape-like ancestors on a TV doco. Attenborough convinced him we were monkeys' cousins so the young man told his science teacher he no longer believed God made man. Not surprisingly, several days later as John Mackay spoke at the school, the Lord led John to speak on how dissimilar we are to apes, gorillas and all their hairy cousins. After John's lecture the teen shared that made more sense than anything Attenborough said, and from now on he would believe God's Word from cover to cover. Now you know why evolutionists have to oppose us! Their arguments against God's genius in design are faulty propaganda meant to mislead young minds.

This week's Evidence News 14/14 with EDitorial COMment is DESIGNED to show you just how foolish Darwin and his followers are, especially when UK education authorities are now threatening 2 year olds with total brainwash by evolution, and warning their kindy teachers their funds will be cut if they teach 2 and 3 year olds creation. John Mackay heads to the UK next week for 5 weeks of ministry so, please, it's a great time to help us combat this evil nonsense with your gifts [CLICK](#).

© Creation Research 2014

<http://www.creationresearch.net>  
<http://www.askjohnmackay.com>  
<http://www.youtube.com/user/askjohnmackay#p/u>  
<http://evidencweb.net>

*ENews is available in 2 FORMATS – for EMAIL scroll down – for PDF see below index.*

#### INDEX

1. 1100 PEOPLE UK
2. NEW QUESTION
3. TWO YEAR OLD CREATIONISTS BANNED
4. UK HELP NEEDED
5. ADVANCE NOTICE AUSTRALIA
6. FUNNY SIDE
7. VANCE NELSON NEED\$ YOUR HELP
8. APE vs MAN
9. DID YOU MISS?
10. EXTINCT NOTABLE QUOTABLE
11. NEW LIGHT ON BAT NAVIGATION
12. TALL TREE WATER PROBLEM SOLVED
13. HOVERING HUMMINGBIRDS OUTDO HELICOPTERS
14. NEW CALIFORNIA WEB HELPS
15. FROM THE ARCHIVES
16. HOW YOU CAN HELP US WITH YOUR DONATIONS

For ENEWS as PDF click [here](#).

1. **1100 PEOPLE UK** are already booked into The Creation Conference in the UK featuring Australia's Creation Guy John Mackay, AIG's Ken Ham, Kiwi land's Ray Comfort, the UK's Dr Andy Macintosh, and others. Join Ken and John

## Evidence News 14/14 – 20<sup>th</sup> August 2014

for 3 days of great teaching on the truth of God's Word in Creation. Click [here](#) for more information on the Mega Conference.

**2. NEW QUESTION:** OLD TESTAMENT vs NEW TESTAMENT GOD: Is the Jewish God of the Old Testament the same as the Jesus God of the New Testament? Simon Turpin's answer [here](#).

**3. TWO YEAR OLD CREATIONISTS BANNED** according to BBC News 8 August 2014. British kindergartens or nursery schools will lose their funding if they teach creation. Following a letter writing campaign by the British Humanist Association, Nicky Morgan, the Secretary of State for Education in the UK, announced that nursery schools would lose their funding if they taught creation as fact. This is part of a move to teach "British values" in schools at all levels. She stated: "I am clear that public money should not be used to support any school or early-years provider that does not support this aim because it seeks to promote ideas and teachings that run counter to fundamental British values". According to the BBC: "Early-years children will be taught about learning right from wrong, learning to take turns and share, and to challenge negative attitudes and stereotypes".

Link: [BBC](#)

ED. COM. So Nicky, and the education authorities, just how will you and all those anti-creationists decide what is right and wrong for the 2 year olds and their parents? Nicky Morgan has recently appointed a leading homosexual activist as a personal advisor, so be prepared for more promotion of homosexuality in schools, with threats of loss of funding for those who refuse to comply. Where do Nicky Morgan and other politicians think "fundamental British values" came from? Historically all British laws have been based on God's law, as laid down in the Bible, and all are based on Christ as the Creator. Nicky ... it is time to wise up ... if we evolved by chance there are no rights and wrongs, or values, just survival, and self-promotion by whatever means you can use ... perhaps it is also time for the relevant politicians to be sacked or voted out! (Ref. politics, philosophy, world view)

**4. UK HELP NEEDED** as John Mackay heads to the UK next week for 5 weeks of ministry so it's a great time to help us combat this nonsense with your gifts ....and giving to our UK Trust is a tax benefit via GIFT AID so here's how [CLICK](#) (Ref. education, politics, world view, philosophy)

**5. ADVANCE NOTICE AUSTRALIA:** EASTER 2015 - Join John Mackay for 4 beautiful days in the Aussie country side at Warwick Queensland, while he shares the good news as Jesus did 'beginning at Moses' (Luke 24:27) with a great trip through God's Word.

DISCOVER EVANGELISM'S MISSING LINK! GENESIS.

MORE DETAILS: <http://www.ddcc.net.au/> or contact Dave Powell via email [davepowell101@gmail.com](mailto:davepowell101@gmail.com) or phone Australia 0435 998 246.

**6. FUNNY SIDE** of Homophobia [CLICK](#).

**7. VANCE NELSON NEEDS YOUR HELP:** Vance is one of the best "get out there and do it" creation researchers on the planet. His latest fossil project dealing with **when** fossils were laid down needs **your** financial assistance. [CLICK TO GIVE](#). Tax deductible in Canada.

**8. APE vs MAN:** Jeffrey Tomkins of The Institute for Creation Research, USA, has recently tested the evolutionists' claim that chimp and human DNA is 98% the same, using publicly available DNA data. Tomkins found they were only 70% the same. An article summarising his results is available from the ICR website [here](#). The research paper detailing the results is available from ICR [here](#). It is rather technical.

**9. DID YOU MISS?** NEW EARTH: How long will God take to create the new heaven and earth described in Revelation 21? John Mackay's answer [here](#).

JOB? Who was Job, when did he live, and where did he live? Dr. John Osgood's answer [here](#).

WATER TO WINE? What happened when Jesus turned water into wine? Steve Stranghoener's answer [here](#).

**10. EXTINCT NOTABLE QUOTABLE:** In an article entitled "Defaunation in the Anthropocene" in *Science* vol. 345 p401, 25 July 2014, doi: 10.1126/science.1251817, an international team of scientists write: "Among terrestrial vertebrates, 322 species have become extinct since 1500, and populations of the remaining species show 25%

average decline in abundance. Invertebrate patterns are equally dire: 67% of monitored populations show 45% mean abundance decline”.

ED. COM. Did you notice that during this man made time of extinction no new kinds of animals have evolved? Furthermore, we have yet to hear any environmentalists, who constantly bemoan the extinction of animals, claim they are looking forward to the evolution of many new kinds of creatures. All this despite the fact they claim extinction (such as that of the dinosaurs) is what enabled the evolution of present day life forms. In reality, extinction is a sad reminder that the world is going downhill, and we lose living things, without ever evolving new living creatures. In case you are wondering about the strange title of this article: “Defaunation” means the removal of animals (*fauna* – animals), and “Anthropocene” is defined as “Relating to or denoting the current man dominated geological age, viewed as the period during which human activity has been the dominant influence on climate and the environment”. (Oxford English Dictionary) (Ref. extinction, degeneration, devolution)

**11. NEW LIGHT ON BAT NAVIGATION** described in articles in, ScienceDaily and ScienceShots 22 July 2014, and BBC News 23 July 2014. Bats are well known for finding their way around by echolocation, i.e. using reflected sound waves. This works very well at short ranges, up to 50m, but will not help the bats orientate themselves over long distances. Yet some bats range over hundreds of kilometres during a night’s foraging, and must return to their roosting places by dawn. Previous studies had shown bats can sense the earth’s magnetic field, and that they need to calibrate, or re-set their starting place each evening when they start out foraging, but how do they achieve this? Experiments using mirrors to shift the image of the sun have shown that bats were not orientating themselves to the sight of the sun’s disc in the sky, so what was providing the frame of reference? Scientists from Queen’s University Belfast and Tel Aviv University studied the greater mouse-eared bat *Myotis myotis* to see if it was orientating itself to patterns of polarised light in the sky, which are produced at sunset and sunrise by light scattering through the atmosphere. These patterns occur even when the sun is below the horizon, and in cloudy weather, so would be a useful guide whenever bats were leaving and returning to their roosts. The research team placed bats in boxes which enabled them to look out into the surrounding landscape, but the windows of the box could be manipulated to change the pattern of polarised light. The researchers then moved the bats about 20 km (12.5 miles) away from their roost sites and released them in the middle of the night when the polarisation pattern is no longer visible. Bats that had been in boxes where the polarisation pattern had been manipulated to appear at 90 degrees offset to the real pattern flew off at 90 degrees to bats that had been allowed to see the real pattern. So far, these bats are the only mammal known to sense polarised light, and the scientists do not yet know how they detect it. Marie Dacke, who studies animal vision at the Lund University in Sweden, explained that insects are known to have special receptors in their eyes for polarised light, “but in birds and fish and so on, we don’t really have a clue about how they’re able to perceive this kind of light”. She went on to say: “I did not expect them to find that in mammals, such as in a bat. So I thought this was really fascinating. The big challenge will actually be to find the mechanism by which bats are able to do this. There is still a bit to reveal before the full story is known”.

Links: [BBC](#), [ScienceDaily](#), [ScienceShots](#)

ED. COM. Man’s ability to see polarisation patterns depends on external designed technology i.e. Polaroid sunglasses, polarising microscopes, etc. None of these devices evolved by chance. Therefore, we predict that when scientists rise to the challenge and discover the mechanism by which bats sense polarised light, they will find something at least as clever (probably more) as these, and therefore will have no excuse for believing bat vision evolved by chance random processes. Furthermore, it is not enough for the bats to see the polarised pattern. They have to interpret it, and if they are using it to calibrate their magnetic compass they also need the right brain circuitry to integrate the information coming from these two senses. Furthermore, the diverse collection of creatures that can sense and use polarised light does not form a neat evolutionary tree. Therefore, the evolutionists have to resort to believing that polarised light sense evolved, de-evolved and re-evolved many times over. However, polarised light sense is more evidence that animals were created in separate kinds as fully formed, functional organisms. With the ability to sense the world by sound, magnetism and polarised light the term “blind as a bat” gets more inappropriate the more we study them, and we suggest substituting “blind as a Dawk”. (Ref. chiroptera, vision, navigation)

**12. TALL TREE WATER PROBLEM SOLVED**, according to articles in ScienceShots 21 May 2014 and *Functional Ecology* doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.12284. The height of trees has been thought to be limited by how far water can be transported upwards from the trees’ roots. Leaves need water to keep their cells alive, maintain the structure of the leaf, and as a raw material for photosynthesis. This problem is reinforced by the fact air is drier, and sunlight more intense, at great heights, and therefore more water is lost by evaporation. However, giant California redwoods seem to

### Evidence News 14/14 – 20<sup>th</sup> August 2014

be able to defy both gravity and evaporation as they keep their leaves well hydrated. It has been known that redwood leaves can absorb water from fogs, but how do they cope when the fog has cleared? It turns out that leaves can store water. Researchers from Japan and USA collected redwood leaves from different heights, and studied them for their water absorbing and storing properties. They found the higher the leaves were on the tree the more water they could store. Leaves from the crown of the tree had a different structure than those lower down. Leaves from the highest part of the trees had much more “transfusion tissue” – water storing tissue, than the leaves from lower parts of the trees. The researchers tested how much water could be stored in leaves from different heights and found the topmost leaves could store up to five times the amount of water needed to keep the leaf functioning. They also found the topmost leaves have a smaller amount of xylem tissue – the water conduit tissue that transports water from the roots. This means the topmost leaves are more reliant on stored water than transported water. The researchers wrote: “In coast redwoods, water storage in foliage, near the site of photosynthesis, may be an important physiological adaptation that maintains water status and helps overcome constraints on water supply. This may explain how the world’s tallest species solves the dilemma that water stress is highest near the treetop, where light availability for photosynthesis is highest, and continues to push the limits of tree height”. According to ScienceShots it may also explain “why redwoods surveyed in foggier northern California grow up to 30m taller than those in the drier south”.

Link: [ScienceShots](#)

ED. COM. Notice how the evolutionary biologists use the word “adaptation” for what really are design features. If redwood trees did not already have the ability to produce leaves that could absorb and store water from fog they wouldn’t be able to grow tall, because they would have nothing to adapt with. Adaptation is a real process that enables living things to cope with changes in their environment, but it works by modifying or turning on already built-in features, and this only happens because the organism can also sense the need for change in its structure and function, and activate the relevant cellular processes. Growing in a place that has fog is not going to produce any genes for transfusion tissue, or genes that regulate the relative amounts of xylem and water absorbing tissue. This method of providing water for the topmost leaves would also help explain why many trees in the fossil record were so enormous. Such water storing leaves would work well in God’s original very good world described in Genesis 1 and 2, where the earth was watered each day by a rising mist, and enable trees to grow very tall indeed (Genesis 2:1-6). (Ref. forestry, arboreal, conifers, design)

**13. HOVERING HUMMINGBIRDS OUTDO HELICOPTERS** according in articles in ScienceShots 29 July 2014 and BBC News 30 July 2014 and *Journal of the Royal Society Interface* doi: 10.1098/rsif.2014.0585. Hummingbirds are well known for being the only birds that can truly hover, maintaining an almost stationary position in the air powered by their own wing beats. A group of scientists from USA, Canada and The Netherlands studied the aerodynamics of 26 hummingbird wings, using dead museum specimens from 12 different species. They used a “wing spinner” device that enabled them measure exactly how much flapping power was required to lift the bird’s weight. They also filmed wild hummingbirds in flight to gain precise measurements of wing movements. By combining the results of these studies they calculated the aerodynamic power hummingbird muscles needed to provide to sustain the hovering movement. The researchers then compared the hummingbird performance with that of a micro-drone named the Black Hornet, a tiny sophisticated helicopter-like device used for military surveillance. Overall they found the birds’ and the helicopter’s results were “remarkably similar”, but according to the BBC, “When it comes to flight, nature just has the edge on engineers”. The best hummingbird was the Anna’s hummingbird, which was 27% more efficient than the helicopter. David Lentink, from Stanford University in California, who led the research, commented: “This shows that if we design the wings well, we can build drones that hover as efficiently, if not more efficiently, as hummingbirds. Clearly we are not even close to hummingbirds in many other design metrics, such as wind gust tolerance, visual flight control through clutter, to name a few. But if we focus on aerodynamic efficiency, we are closer than we perhaps ever imagined possible”.

Links: [BBC](#), [ScienceShots](#)

ED. COM. Note Lentink is admitting that helicopters that hover as well as hummingbirds will require better design, which we know will not happen by itself without intelligent creative engineers. So anyone who has studied hummingbirds has no excuse for believing they evolved by chance from a creature that could neither fly nor hover. We would also remind the BBC, and anyone else who gives credit for hummingbirds’ superior function to “nature”, that one day they will have to give an account to the Creator as to why they ascribed His handiwork to a false goddess named “mother nature”, when the true Creator God has revealed Himself so clearly in His word and His works (see Romans 1:20). (Ref. ornithology, aerodynamics, robotics, design)

**Evidence News 14/14 – 20<sup>th</sup> August 2014**

**14. NEW CALIFORNIA WEB HELPS** on <http://www.genesisapologetics.com> a “tasteful” yet direct resource for USA Christian parents to train their kids (6<sup>th</sup> – 12<sup>th</sup> grade) about the evolution being taught in CA public schools, and to provide Biblical responses to these topics using “easy and sound” rebuttals.

**15. FROM THE ARCHIVES:** [Hummingbird Flight](#), [Tall Trees](#), [Bats Find Water](#), [Animal Extinction](#)

**16. HOW YOU CAN HELP US WITH YOUR DONATIONS:** Get involved in sharing the cost and the blessings of the research and teaching by becoming part of the worldwide support team today via our secure [Web Site](#), or send gifts to the following addresses:

Donations in USA/UK are tax deductible.

AUSTRALIA: P.O. Box 260 Capalaba Qld 4157

CANADA: C/- 12919 Warden Ave Stouffville ON L4A 7X5

NEW ZEALAND: P.O. Box 40480 Glenfield 0747, Auckland

UK: P.O. Box 1 Ashton under Lyne Lancs. OL6 9WW (Donations in UK payable to Creation Research Trust are tax deductible - a Gift Aid Declaration is required - available from [here](#).)

USA: P.O. Box 281 Hartsville TN 37074 (Donations in USA are tax deductible. Make checks to Creation Education Society)

IF YOU no longer wish to receive our updates please reply with REMOVE EN in the subject. To assist us please include your name as well as e-mail address (and organisation name, if any).

