



Now what are we supposed to do? International Guru James Lovelock, has just reversed his opinion about Global Warming at the same time Australian Atheist Prime Minister Gillard, has introduced a Carbon Tax to cope with what Lovelock says is has never happened. Meanwhile Australia is suffering some of its coldest days for the past 20 years and America some of its hottest. But then a quick check of history tells us we've been through all this before, and to prove it we've posted a plot of very real historic data collected by a well trained weather man, so don't miss it below.

All this is happening while experts reveal they have found a massive deposit of Aussie Mega Fauna, and Psychologists at one University meet to determine how they can "brainwash" people into believing climate change. What an exciting week, so enjoy Evidence News 16/12 – 5th July 2012 from John Mackay and the Creation Research Team Worldwide.

© Creation Research 2012

<http://www.creationresearch.net>

<http://www.askjohnmackay.com>

<http://www.youtube.com/user/askjohnmackay#p/u>

<http://evidencweb.net>

ENews is available in 2 FORMATS – for EMAIL scroll down – for PDF see below index.

## INDEX

1. **REAL RAIN RECORDS**
2. **JOIN JOHN MACKAY**
3. **NEW QUESTIONS**
4. **DID YOU MISS OUR MESOSAUR?**
5. **CHECK OUT OUR NEW [WEBSHOP](#)**
6. **DINOSAUR COMPETITION WINNER**
7. **BIGGEST MEGAFUNA GRAVE FOUND**
8. **GAIA GURU BACKS OFF CLIMATE ALARMISM**
9. **HOW TO WIN CLIMATE CHANGE DENIERS**
10. **FROM THE ARCHIVES**
11. **DONATIONS**

**1. REAL RAIN RECORDS.** Want to check on Gillard or Lovelock views on climate or global warming? . Then there's nothing better re the weather than to get it from the horse's mouth rather than a data sheet from a computer expert. Now available a plot of very real historic data compiled by a man who was trained by Australia's greatest and most successful long term weather forecaster Inigo Jones, who just happened to be a lay reader in his local church and firmly believed God invented weather, and since God was a God of law and order, if we could find the laws then we could predict the weather.

Where's the data come from? It's the rainfall at Laidley Queensland from 1890-2006 compiled by Glen Johansen whose family has been in the region since Europeans settled and kept the weather records, so it's not just a computer program. It is actually history analysed by someone with real training. What's interesting and now proven to be accurate from the graph, is that we started to exit a drought cycle in 2008 and rain has increased since then with devastating floods in 2011 and even more rain in 2012 which is just what this graph compiled in 2006 showed would happen. Don't miss it

<http://evidenceweb.net/pdfs/aus-rain-cycles.pdf>

**2. JOIN JOHN MACKAY:** MEETINGS in Queensland, NSW, Victoria, and South Australia [CLICK](#).

**3. NEW QUESTIONS:** HEIGHT: "Why are humans getting taller if we are not evolving?" [Answer](#) by Diane Eager and John Mackay.


DINOSAURS: "How could Noah fit such huge animals on the ark?" [Answer](#) by John Mackay.

**4. DID YOU MISS OUR MESOSAUR** slide show?

[http://evidenceweb.net/photo\\_search\\_results.php?p\\_SERIES=Fossil Mesosaur](http://evidenceweb.net/photo_search_results.php?p_SERIES=Fossil Mesosaur)

**5. CHECK OUT OUR NEW [WEBSHOP](#).**

**6. DINOSAUR COMPETITION WINNER** is Gideon Stephen who had the first and best entry for the following: "Can you identify what dinosaur we have used to illustrate the old dragon Satan? Send your answer plus one paragraph on why you think we have used a dinosaur in this mural."



Our artist Steve Cardno actually based the dinosaur in the Garden of Eden Mural on a mix of *Ornitholestes*, *Massospondylus* and *Coelophysis*, because of their long thin snake like bodies. Our reason for putting it there was that the man who invented the word dinosaur in 1841 had previously labeled them as dragons. Likewise for many years after Sir Richard Owen invented the word dinosaur, he still referred to them as dragons. The name Dragon remained

their dominant moniker in the Western world until the early 1900's when due to Darwin et al, it became politically incorrect to use the concept of dragons living alongside of mankind, because dinosaurs were believed to have died out millions of years before man arrived on the planet.

What's the connection with Genesis and Adam and Eve? The Bible teaches that it was the devil or Satan who spoke through the serpent in Genesis. And no – it wasn't called a snake in the Garden of Eden. Later in the book of Revelation Lucifer, the devil, the serpent etc, is referred to as "the dragon that old serpent" (Revelation 20:2) (*drakon* in Greek). The dragon-like Dinosaur found in South Dakota USA was labeled *Dracorex hogwartsia* using the same Greek root.

Many pictures of the fall of Adam and Eve in the Garden of Eden particularly in children's books, show an already legless snake crawling through the trees. Yet the first implication of the serpent being told by God that it would hence forth have to crawl over the dust, is not that it simply climbed down from the tree

tops, but that it lost its legs. Don't be surprised that the scientists who found a snake fossil complete with legs in South America labeled it *Najash riojina* "from the Hebrew 'Najash' the legged biblical snake". See our report [here](#). It does pay to remember that many snakes still have tiny almost useless legs, some of which are only able to now be used for grasping the female for mating. These are evidence of degeneration which fits the biblical pattern rather than the evolutionist one. Perhaps it's time to revisit the data that is causing even the evolutionists to revisit the "origin of Snakes as devolved from dragon like Monitor or Mosasaur reptiles [here](#)."

So congratulations Gideon a genuine Spinosaur tooth is on its way to you.

**7. BIGGEST MEGAFUNA GRAVE FOUND**, according to articles in ABC and BBC News and *Courier Mail* 21 June 2012. Scientists from Queensland Museum and Griffith University have unearthed the largest deposit of Diprotodon fossils ever found. Diprotodons are extinct giant wombat like creatures that could grow as big as a rhinoceros. The largest Diprotodon at the new site has a 70cm (28 in) jaw and the scientists estimate it was 3 metres (10 ft) tall. The scientists believe there are between 40 and 50 Diprotodon buried at the site in central Queensland. Scott Hocknull of the Queensland Museum commented: "What is really interesting to me, is that it is the largest concentration of this one gigantic marsupial that I have ever seen, anywhere in Australia". The scientists have also found teeth from a giant lizard named meglania, and teeth and bony back-plates of an enormous crocodile. Hocknull explained: "We're almost certain that most of these carcasses of Diprotodon have been torn apart by both the crocodiles and the lizards, because we've found shed teeth within their skeletons from both animals". The researchers also found giant kangaroos, a giant forest wallaby, along with smaller marsupials and fish and frogs. Hocknull also commented: "With so many fossils it gives us a unique opportunity to see these animals in their environment, basically, so we can reconstruct it".

Links: [ABC](#), [BBC](#), [Courier Mail](#)

ED. COM. This is not an opportunity to see where these animals lived in their environment. It is an opportunity to see where they are dead and buried, and maybe find some clues as to how they were buried. Burying such a large number of giant animals required a substantial amount of sediment, which means a large regional flood during which land creatures were drowned and ended up where they didn't live – maybe hundreds of km from their place of origin, and in a place where giant Crocs perhaps tore them apart. However, the size of these animals does tell us something about the environment wherever they lived – that it must have been better than central Queensland is now. Crocs don't live there now – it's too dry. Similarly for the land to support giant animals, including giant forest wallabies, there must have been more vegetation than currently exists in central Queensland. There are numerous fossils of giant animals all over Australia, and there is considerable debate as to what led to their extinction. Some claim it was human hunting, others claim it was climate change. It is interesting to note that those who want to blame climate change do not claim that it was caused by man-made carbon dioxide emissions. The extinction of the megafauna was probably the result of both human hunting and climate change. The loss of these giant animals and the drying out of the Australian continent is more testimony that the world is not evolving upwards, from simple to complex, but started out very good and is going downhill just as the Bible tells us. (Ref. wombats, marsupials, Australia)

**8. GAIA GURU BACKS OFF CLIMATE ALARMISM**, according to articles in MSNBC 21 April 2012 and *The Guardian* 15 June 2012. James Lovelock, the promoter of the Gaia theory, has admitted that his predictions about global warming were wrong. In 2006 Lovelock had predicted "before this century is over billions of us will die and the few breeding pairs of people that survive will be in the Arctic where the climate remains tolerable". (*Independent* 16 Jan 2006). This year, in an interview with MSNBC he said, "The problem is we don't know what the climate is doing. We thought we knew 20 years ago. That led to

some alarmist books – mine included – because it looked clear-cut, but it hasn't happened". He went on to say: "The climate is doing its usual tricks. There's nothing much really happening yet. We were supposed to be halfway toward a frying world now". He also admitted: "The world has not warmed up very much since the millennium. Twelve years is a reasonable time... it (the temperature) has stayed almost constant, whereas it should have been rising -- carbon dioxide is rising, no question about that". In an interview with The Guardian he commented about environmental science in general: "One thing being a scientist has taught me is that you can never be certain about anything. You never know the truth. You can only approach it and hope you get a bit nearer to it each time. You iterate towards the truth. You don't know it. It's just the way the humans go that if there's a cause of some sort, a religion starts forming around it. It just so happens that the green religion is now taking over from the Christian religion. I don't think people have noticed that, but it's got all the sort of terms that religions use".

Links: [MSNBC](#), [Guardian](#)

ED. COM. Keep Lovelock's words in mind next time a politician or environmental activist claims "the science is settled" on global warming. Lovelock is correct about science – it is not to be worshipped as a god. It is a useful tool to help us find the truth, but because it is carried out by fallible people it can never be infallible. The only One who knows all truth is the One the global warming alarmists have ignored – the Creator God who is still in charge of the climate, and has control over the things we will never be able to control, such as the sun, the clouds and the oceans. We should use science to study the environment, so we can wisely use the things we can control, live with the things we can't, and be humble enough to admit we are dependent on the Creator who "has not left himself without witness, in that he did good, and gave us rain from heaven, and fruitful seasons, filling our hearts with food and gladness." (Acts 14:17) (Ref. philosophy, world view, politics)

**9. HOW TO WIN CLIMATE CHANGE DENIERS** reported in *Nature Climate Change* online 17 June 2012, DOI: 10.1038/NCLIMATE1532, and ABC News in Science 18 June 2012. A team of psychologists at the University of Queensland admits that in spite of enormous efforts to convince the general public that man-made global warming was real, "since 2008 the number of deniers of anthropogenic climate change has climbed to one-third or more of the population in high-carbon-emitting countries such as the United States and Australia". According to the researchers "the likelihood of 'conversion' using scientific evidence is limited because these attitudes increasingly reflect ideological positions". The researchers conducted two studies that showed "climate change deniers" were more likely to take "pro-environmental action" if they thought that mitigating climate change would improve society and promote development. One study asked participants "how their nation would be different in 2050 if widespread action to mitigate climate change was taken from the present year (2011)". The results showed "environmental citizenship intentions were greater where deniers believed action on climate change would result in people becoming more interpersonally warm and considerate, and where they thought climate change action would promote societal development". The second study presented the participants with statements ostensibly made by previous research participants claiming that taking action on climate change would result in more caring society and promote scientific and economic development. The researchers ended their report with the following suggestion: "Communication about the reality of climate change should continue, but public discussion should broaden to encompass the societal effects of action, especially how mitigation efforts will promote scientific and economic progress, and can make us more caring and considerate people".

Not everyone is impressed with this study. Joseph Forgas, a professor of psychology at University of New South Wales, was highly critical of the report and claimed it was based on a "very biased ideological position". He commented: "It assumes that 'denial' only results from 'deception, ignorance or misunderstanding' which is a very poor position to take when your objective is to bring about reasoned

attitude change. To label those who remain unconvinced by the evidence as ‘deniers’ is reminiscent of the Holy Inquisition. There is no ‘true faith’ here to be denied, just a valid disagreement about the validity and reliability of the models and the assumptions of climate change research”. He also questioned the significance of the results, claiming they simply show “environmental citizenship intentions” were greater if people believe action on climate change would result in society becoming more warm and considerate. He commented “Since almost nobody would want people to become less warm and less considerate under any circumstances, these responses seem to simply reflect a strong social desirability element”.

Links: [ABC](#)

ED. COM. We couldn't say it any better than Joseph Forgas. Furthermore, when global warming proponents openly admit they have to resort to exploitation of people's desires to make a better society in order to promote environmental 'climate change' activism, they are admitting they are losing the battle. The idea that climate "mitigation efforts" and "pro-environmental action" will somehow make people more caring and considerate is preposterous. The reason people are uncaring and inconsiderate has nothing to do with the climate, the environment or any external factor. It is an internal problem caused by sin, i.e. our rebellion against our Creator. The only way to make a new and better human society is to deal with the real problem of sin and only one person has. The Lord Jesus Christ paid the penalty for your sin and mine by dying on the cross and rising from the dead, and He does promise to make new creations of all those who put their trust in Him. (2 Corinthians 5:17) (Ref. world view, philosophy, politics, environmentalism)

**10. FROM THE ARCHIVES:** Each week we publish links to previous items related to this issue's topics: [Megafauna and Climate](#), [Extinction Debate](#), [Climate Survey](#)

Remember also, all our news items and quotes are archived as individual items in the Fact File on our Evidence website [here](#). Make the most of this useful resource.

**11. DONATIONS:** Get involved in sharing the cost and the blessings of the research and teaching by becoming part of the worldwide support team today via our secure Web site: [CLICK](#) or send gifts to the following addresses.

Donations in USA/UK are tax deductible. See instructions online.

AUSTRALIA: P.O. Box 260 Capalaba Qld 4157

CANADA: Westney Heights Baptist Church 1201 Ravenscroft Rd Ajax Ont. L1T 4K5

TAX DEDUCTIBLE SUPPORT FOR OUR COLLEAGUES click [HERE](#).

NEW ZEALAND: P.O. Box 40480 Glenfield 0747, Auckland

UK: P.O. Box 1 Ashton under Lyne Lancs. OL6 9WW (Donations in UK payable to Creation Research Trust are tax deductible - a Gift Aid Declaration is required - available from

<http://www.amen.org.uk/cr/trust/>

USA: P.O. Box 281 Hartsville TN 37074 (Donations in USA are tax deductible. Make checks to Creation Education Society)

IF YOU no longer wish to receive our updates please reply with REMOVE EN in the subject. To assist us please include your name as well as e-mail address (and organisation name, if any)

