



The French seem to have forgiven Darwin for being British in order to attack one of their own, and newly found fossil brains force rethink about evolution as climate gurus further exposed as truth deniers and tax cheats, while you too can see how hard the Media works to try and belittle creation and Creator by catching the BBC's pro Dawkins William Crawley vs John Mackay on BBC web for next 3 days only

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b007cphf> (about 1 hour after start) Now enjoy Evidence News 26/12 with EDitorial COMment from John Mackay and the world wide Creation Research team. Support needed now click [DONATIONS](#).

© Creation Research 2012

<http://www.creationresearch.net>

<http://www.askjohnmackay.com>

<http://www.youtube.com/user/askjohnmackay.com>

<http://evidencweb.net>

ENews is available in 2 FORMATS – for EMAIL scroll down – for PDF see below index.

## **INDEX**

- 1. NEW QUESTION**
- 2. ATHEISTS**
- 3. FRENCH MICROBIOLOGIST QUESTIONS EVOLUTION**
- 4. GLOBAL WARMING STOPPED IN 1997**
- 5. OLDEST BRAIN FOUND,**
- 6. FOLLOW UP MISSING LINK MOLLUSC**
- 7. FROM THE ARCHIVES**
- 8. DONATIONS**

For **ENEWS** as **PDF** – **CLICK** [HERE](#)

**1. NEW QUESTION:** I read your attempt to decry evolution in your ring species article. Surely even you can't deny that species such as the cave fishes of Tennessee or the albino Wetans of New Zealand caves are proven examples of life evolving? [CLICK](#)

**2. ATHEISTS** and pro homosexuals are upset John Mackay is going to the Isle of Man! Full UK Itinerary - [CLICK](#).

**3. FRENCH MICROBIOLOGIST QUESTIONS EVOLUTION**, according to *Science* vol 335, p1035, 2 March 2012. French microbiologist Didier Raoult has discovered many new species of microbes, including a virus of record size, called *Mimivirus*, but he is considered controversial because he is very critical of other

microbiologists, and of standard theories of how microbes evolved. Raoult is also critical of aspects of Darwin's theory, including the importance of natural selection, and has published a book entitled *Dépasser Darwin (Beyond Darwin)*.

According to Raoult genes can be exchanged between microbes and complex organisms, which means de novo creation of entirely new species is possible. He claims Darwin's branching tree of life should be replaced with an interconnecting network. According to Raoult "Darwin was a priest" and Darwin got the idea of the tree of life from the Bible. His criticism of Darwin has annoyed other French scientists. David Moreira of the University of Paris-Sud in Orsay is concerned that Raoult is providing creationists with ammunition and commented "It's dangerous to say those things".

ED. COM. It seems that many Frenchmen have forgiven Darwin for being English, and are prepared to defend him, even against their own countrymen. Raoult is not a creationist, but his case shows that even daring to criticise Darwin and propose an alternative theory of speciation, is considered a kind of blasphemy in scientific circles, and condemned as dangerous by Darwin's followers. Raoult's description of Darwin as a priest is a little far-fetched, but it is a reminder of the staunch faith of Darwin's followers - people such as Dawkins. We will leave you to consider the Raoult's claim that Darwin's evolutionary tree has been inspired by the Bible's Tree of Life. Our conclusion is simple: Raoult is provably not ignorant of Darwin, but he is certainly ignorant of the Bible. (See Genesis 1-3) (Ref. philosophy, world view, Darwinism)

**4. GLOBAL WARMING STOPPED IN 1997**, and official UK Met Bureau graphs prove it. ([Hadcrut4 graphs](#)) According to an article in *Daily Mail* 13 October 2012, new figures released by the UK Met Office show that the increase in global temperatures in the late 20th century stopped in 1997 and has plateaued since then. This means the plateau is almost as long as the period of warming that occurred from 1980 to 1996. The data collected on global temperature is called Hadcrut 4, a name derived from the words 'Hadley Centre' (run by the Met Office's) mixed with 'Climatic Research Unit' based at the University of East Anglia. The CRU is headed by Phil Jones and is better known for the "climategate" e-mail leak that occurred in 2009. (see our previous report [here](#).) In one of the leaked e-mails Jones wrote: 'Bottom line: the "no upward trend" has to continue for a total of 15 years before we get worried.' The 15 years are now up, but Jones is sticking to the climate models that predict continued warming. He said: 'I still think that the current decade which began in 2010 will be warmer by about 0.17 degrees than the previous one, which was warmer than the Nineties.'

However, Professor Judith Curry, chair of the School of Earth and Atmospheric Science at America's Georgia Tech university, is not so certain about climate models. She commented: 'Climate models are very complex, but they are imperfect and incomplete. Natural variability has been shown over the past two decades to have a magnitude that dominates the greenhouse warming effect. It is becoming increasingly apparent that our attribution of warming since 1980 and future projections of climate change needs to consider natural internal variability as a factor of fundamental importance.' Natural variability includes factors such as long-term temperature cycles in the oceans and the output of the sun. In spite of his commitment to models Phil Jones also admitted past climate models were imperfect. He commented: "We don't fully understand how to input things like changes in the oceans, and because we don't fully understand it you could say that natural variability is now working to suppress the warming. We don't know what natural variability is doing." David Rose, who wrote the *Daily Mail* article commented: "The most depressing feature of this debate is that anyone who questions the alarmist, doomsday scenario will automatically be labelled a climate change 'denier', and accused of jeopardising the future of humanity."

Links: [Daily Mail](#), [Hadcrut4 graphs](#), [Judith Curry Blog](#)

ED. COM. AND NO, you didn't hear it from the ABC, the BBC, Julia Gillard, and most major newspapers have ignored it as have the greens who want you taxed out of existence for something that isn't happening. AND YES the climate gurus are finally reluctantly confirming what we have been saying for years on this site, i.e. the sun and the oceans influence the climate more than the amount of carbon dioxide in the air, and we need to be studying them if want to understand climate variations. This is bad news for politicians and bureaucrats who think they can change the climate with taxes and regulations on industry and transport, as these don't make any difference to the sun and oceans. The variations in climate that have been measured since we have had the technology to make and collate accurate measurements of weather conditions all over the world have only confirmed the promise made by God following Noah's flood: "While the earth remains, seedtime and harvest, cold and heat, winter and summer, And day and night shall not cease." Genesis 8:22. WE PREDICT that since the earth is still here, such variations in climate will continue until the world comes to an end when Christ the Creator returns to judge the world. (Ref. climate, weather, prediction)

Check out our Climate Change File [here](#).

**5. OLDEST BRAIN FOUND**, according to Nature News 10 October 2012, ABC News in Science, and ScienceDaily 11 October 2012 and *Nature*, 2012; 490 (7419): 258 DOI: 10.1038/nature11495.

Palaeontologists from China, UK and USA have studied the fossil of an arthropod buried in Cambrian rocks in China, dated as 520 million years old. The fossil, named *Fuxianhuia protensa*, has multiple segments in its body, eyes on stalks, and is so well preserved that the outline of its brain can be seen. This makes it the oldest fossil proven to have a brain.

Gregory Edgecombe of the Natural History Museum in London explained: "We recognize it as a brain because its size, outline and position are comparable to those of a small brain in a crustacean such as a glass shrimp." The brain is similar to that of modern day insects and crustaceans and according to Nicholas Strausfeld, another of the researchers, "No one expected such an advanced brain would have evolved so early in the history of multicellular animals."

The scientists suggest that once a brain had evolved, its basic structure has remained the same over time, even though sensory organs that send information to it diversified greatly. Strausfeld went on to comment: "It is remarkable how constant the ground pattern of the nervous system has remained for probably more than 550 million years. The basic organization of the computational circuitry that deals, say, with smelling, appears to be the same as the one that deals with vision, or mechanical sensation." Some modern day arthropods such as spiders, scorpions and water fleas have simpler brains than the fossil brain and the research team suggest they underwent "evolutionary reduction and character reversal".

Links: [ABC](#), [Nature News](#), [ScienceDaily](#)

ED. COM. Surely you can spot it too? If the oldest brain is fully formed and complex, and arthropod brains haven't changed for 550 million years, then that truly represents a lot of non-evolution. This new fossil, added to what is observed in living arthropods, are exactly what you would expect to result if each kind of arthropod was created in a fully functional state, complete with the appropriate brain for its needs. The belief that arthropods with simpler brains have suffered a brain drain as they evolved from those with the original complex brains is speculation, since no-one has observed this supposed bugs' brain drain, or any brain change in a living creature that would make such a drastic change feasible. BUT did you also spot it? Even if such a loss of brain power did happen, it would be a change from complex to simple, which is no help to a theory that claims brainless hydrogen gave rise to simple brains which much later evolved into more complex brains. Biblical history looks highly intelligent by comparison. (Ref. invertebrates, neurology, fossilisation)

**6. FOLLOW UP MISSING LINK MOLLUSC.** In last week's Evidence News 25/12 we reported a fossil mollusc that was claimed to show that worm-like creatures named aplacophorans, which don't have shells, evolved from chiton-like creatures that do have shells. We made the comment: "Losing what you already have is a change from complex to simple, which is the opposite of evolution. Showing how chitons got shells in the first place is what the evolutionist really needs to do." If you did not receive this newsletter the item can be read [here](#).

After we sent this out we were reminded that the fossil history of chitons themselves is no help to the evolutionary story. Modern day chitons have a single row of eight plates, surrounded by a ring of scales. In *Nature* vol 429, p288, 20 May 2004 scientists in USA reported the fossil of a chiton-like mollusc with 17 plates, arranged in three overlapping columns, with a single plate at the head and tail. The fossil was classified as belonging to a group known as Multiplacophora. Living chitons are classified as belonging to a group known as Polyplacophora. The researchers wrote in their report: "Multiplacophorans, like trilobites, were pruned from the metazoan tree before the radiation of the modern fauna during the Mesozoic."

ED. COM. Being "pruned from the metazoan tree" is an evolutionist's way of saying they died out and became extinct. Thus, the fossil record of chitons shows that creatures with many plates in a complex arrangement 1) appear suddenly and fully formed in the fossil record, and 2) they have died out, whilst 3) chitons with fewer plates grouped in a simple arrangement, are the only known present day representatives of this group. BUT if the 17 plate chitons gave rise to the living chitons with eight plates again it may be change, but such change is not evolution. Take off Darwin's Glasses and start seeing the real world God made and take note of the fact that the fossil record is not the history of life on earth, but a record of death and degeneration. (Ref. invertebrates, arthropods, extinction)

**7. FROM THE ARCHIVES:** Each week we publish links to previous items related to this issue's topics: [Cambrian Fossil Eyes](#), [Cambrian Arthropod](#)  
Remember also, all our news items and quotes are archived as individual items in the Fact File on our Evidence website [here](#). Make the most of this useful resource.

**8. DONATIONS:** Get involved in sharing the cost and the blessings of the research and teaching by becoming part of the worldwide support team today via our secure Web Site:

<http://shop.askjohnmackay.com/c/donations/> or send gifts to the following addresses.

Donations in USA/UK are tax deductible. See instructions online.

AUSTRALIA: P.O. Box 260 Capalaba Qld 4157

CANADA: Westney Heights Baptist Church 1201 Ravenscroft Rd Ajax Ont. L1T 4K5

TAX DEDUCTIBLE SUPPORT FOR OUR COLLEAGUES

<http://www.creationtruthministries.org/donatepayments.html>.

NEW ZEALAND: P.O. Box 40480 Glenfield 0747, Auckland

UK: P.O. Box 1 Ashton under Lyne Lancs. OL6 9WW (Donations in UK payable to Creation Research Trust are tax deductible - a Gift Aid Declaration is required - available from <http://www.amen.org.uk/cr/trust/>)

USA: P.O. Box 281 Hartsville TN 37074 (Donations in USA are tax deductible. Make checks to Creation Education Society)

IF YOU no longer wish to receive our updates please reply with REMOVE EN in the subject. To assist us please include your name as well as e-mail address (and organisation name, if any).

[www.askjohnmackay.com](http://www.askjohnmackay.com)

[www.evidencweb.net](http://www.evidencweb.net)

[www.creationresearch.net](http://www.creationresearch.net)